

Consistency and Reasonableness Statement

Map Amendments

Pursuant N.C.G.S. Sections 160D-604 and -605, the Zoning Commission finds that the proposed zoning map amendment in case P25-24 is consistent/~~inconsistent~~ with the City of Fayetteville's Future Land Use Map and Plan (Comprehensive Plan). The following analysis examines the proposed amendment relative to the goals and land-use policies and strategies of the Comprehensive Plan:

Consistency

1. GOALS

GOAL(S)	CONSISTENT	INCONSISTENT
Goal # 1: Focus Value and Investment around infrastructure and strategic nodes	X	
Goal # 2: Promote compatible economic and commercial development in key identified areas.	X	

2. LAND USE POLICES AND STRATEGIES:

LAND USE POLICES AND STRATEGIES	CONSISTENT	INCONSISTENT
LUP 1: Encourage growth in areas well-served by infrastructure and urban services, including roads, utilities, parks, schools, police, fire, and emergency services.	X	
1.2: Encourage more intense uses, greater mix of uses and denser residential types in focal areas	X	
1.7: Encourage a logical progression of housing development and discourage "leapfrog" development.	X	
LUP 2: Encourage strategic economic development.	X	
2.1: Encourage economic development in designated areas.	X	
2.2: Identify opportunity sites for manufacturing and technology industry based on transportation access, nearby land uses, environmental constraints, and other considerations.		X
LUP 3: Encourage redevelopment along underutilized commercial strip corridors and reinvestment in distressed residential neighborhoods.	X	
3.1: Examine and identify targeted redevelopment and infill areas throughout the city.	X	

LUP 4: Create well-designed and walkable commercial and mixed-use districts	X	
4.1: Ensure new development meets basic site design standards	X	
4.2: Encourage context-sensitive site design	X	

3. The proposed amendment is consistent with the Future Land Use Map as follows:

X	The proposed land use is consistent and aligns with the area's designation on the FLU Map.	OR		The proposed land use is inconsistent and does not align with the area's designation on the FLU Map.
X	The proposed designation, as requested, would permit uses that are complimentary to those existing on adjacent tracts.	OR		The proposed designation, as requested, would permit uses that are incongruous to those existing on adjacent tracts.

Reasonableness

The proposed zoning amendment is reasonable and in the public interest because it supports the policies of the Comprehensive Plan as stated above and the Strategic Plan as stated in the Staff Report, and because: [select all that apply]

- X The size, physical conditions, and other attributes of the proposed use(s) will benefit the surrounding community.
- X The amendment includes conditions that limit potential negative impacts on neighboring uses.
- X The proposed uses address the needs of the area and/or City.
- The proposal adapts the zoning code to reflect modern land-use trends and patterns.


The amendment is also in the public interest because it: [select all that apply]

- improves consistency with the long-range plan.
- X improves the tax base.
- preserves environmental and/or cultural resources.
- X facilitates a desired kind of development.
- X provides needed housing/commercial area.

Additional comments, if any (write-in):

May 8, 2025

Date



Chair Signature

Robert K. Righi

Print

