

## Consistency and Reasonableness Statement

### Map Amendments

Pursuant N.C.G.S. Sections 160D-604 and -605, the Zoning Commission finds that the proposed zoning map amendment in case P24-37 is consistent with the City of Fayetteville’s Future Land Use Map and Plan (Comprehensive Plan). The following analysis examines the proposed amendment relative to the goals and land-use policies and strategies of the Comprehensive Plan:

#### Consistency

##### 1. GOALS

GOAL(S)	CONSISTENT	INCONSISTENT
<b>Goal # 1:</b> Focus value and investment around infrastructure and strategic nodes	X	
<b>Goal # 4:</b> Foster safe, stable, and attractive neighborhoods	X	

##### 2. LAND USE POLICIES AND STRATEGIES:

LAND USE POLICIES AND STRATEGIES	CONSISTENT	INCONSISTENT
<b>LUP 1:</b> Encourage growth in areas well-served by infrastructure and urban services, including roads, utilities, parks, schools, police, fire, and emergency services.	X	
1.4: Annexation and adherence to development standards are required for any development proposal within the city’s Municipal Influence Area (MIA) if city services are to be provided.	X	
1.6: Require adequate infrastructure to be in place before or in tandem with new development	X	
<b>LUP 3:</b> Encourage redevelopment along underutilized commercial strip corridors and reinvestment in distressed residential neighborhoods.	X	
3.1: Examine and identify targeted redevelopment and infill areas throughout the city.	X	
<b>LUP 4:</b> Create well-designed and walkable commercial and mixed-use districts	X	
4.1: Ensure new development meets basic site design standards	X	
4.2: Encourage context-sensitive site design	X	

LUP 6: Encourage development standards that result in quality neighborhoods	X	
6.1: Encourage quality neighborhood design through maintaining and improving standards for streets, sidewalks, stormwater, and open space.	X	

3. The proposed amendment is consistent with the Future Land Use Map as follows:

X	The proposed land use is consistent and aligns with the area's designation on the FLU Map.	OR		The proposed land use is inconsistent and does not align with the area's designation on the FLU Map.
X	The proposed designation, as requested, would permit uses that are complimentary to those existing on adjacent tracts.	OR		The proposed designation, as requested, would permit uses that are incongruous to those existing on adjacent tracts.

**Reasonableness**

The proposed zoning amendment is reasonable and in the public interest because it supports the policies of the Comprehensive Plan as stated above and the Strategic Plan as stated in the Staff Report, and because: [select all that apply]

- The size, physical conditions, and other attributes of the proposed use(s) will benefit the surrounding community.
- The amendment includes conditions that limit potential negative impacts on neighboring uses.
- The proposed uses address the needs of the area and/or City.
- The proposal adapts the zoning code to reflect modern land-use trends and patterns.


The amendment is also in the public interest because it: [select all that apply]

- improves consistency with the long-range plan.
- improves the tax base.
- preserves environmental and/or cultural resources.
- facilitates a desired kind of development.
- provides needed housing/commercial area.

Additional comments, if any (write-in):

September 10, 2024

Date

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Chair Signature

Pawan D. Patel  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Print