Consistency and Reasonableness Statement

Map Amendments

Pursuant N.C.G.S. Sections 160D-604 and -605, the Zoning Commission finds that the proposed zoning map amendment in case P22-37 is consistent/inconsistent with the City of Fayetteville's Future Land Use Map and Plan (Comprehensive Plan). The following analysis examines the proposed amendment relative to the goals and land-use policies and strategies of the Comprehensive Plan:

Consistency

1. GOALS

GOAL(S)	CONSISTENT	INCONSISTENT
GOAL #2: Promote compatible economic and commercial development in key		
identified areas	Х	
GOAL #4: Foster safe, stable, and attractive neighborhoods.	Х	

2. LAND USE POLICES AND STRATEGIES:

		<u> </u>
LAND USE POLICIES AND STRATEGIES	CONSISTENT	INCONSISTENT
LUP 1: Encourage growth in areas well- served by infrastructure and urban services, including roads utilities, parks, schools, police, fire, and emergency services.	X	
1.6: Require adequate infrastructure to be in place prior to or in tandem with new development. This includes road infrastructure such as roads, turn lanes, and sidewalks as well as public services such as parks, schools, water/sewer, police, fire, and emergency services.	X	
1.7: Encourage a logical progression of housing development and discourage "leapfrog" development. Leapfrog development is development that occurs in areas away from existing development and in areas currently not served by infrastructure or adjacent to services, esp. water/sewer. This type of growth can lead to higher costs of providing urban services.	X	
LUP 3: Encourage redevelopment along underutilized commercial strip corridors and reinvestment in distressed residential neighborhoods.	X	
3.1: Examine and identify targeted redevelopment and infill areas throughout the city.	X	

LUP 4: Create well-designed and walkable commercial and mixed-use districts.	X	
4.1: Ensure new development meets basic site design standards.	Χ	
4.2: Encourage context-sensitive site design.	Χ	

3. The proposed amendment is consistent with the Future Land Use Map as follows:

Х	The proposed land use is consistent and aligns with the area's designation on the FLU Map.	OR	The proposed land use is inconsistent and does not align with the area's designation on the FLU Map.
X	The proposed designation, as requested, would permit uses that are complimentary to those existing on adjacent tracts.	OR	The proposed designation, as requested, would permit uses that are incongruous to those existing on adjacent tracts.

Reasonableness

The proposed zoning amendment is reasonable and in the public interest because it supports the polices of the Comprehensive Plan as stated above and the Strategic Plan as stated in the Staff Report, and because: [select all that apply]

X	The size, physical conditions, and other attributes of the proposed use(s) will benefit the surrounding community.
<u>.</u>	The amendment includes conditions that limit potential negative impacts on neighboring uses.
	The proposed uses address the needs of the area and/or City.
X	The proposal adapts the zoning code to reflect modern land-use trends and patterns.
The am	nendment is also in the public interest because it: [select all that apply]
	improves consistency with the long-range plan.
_X	improves the tax base.
	preserves environmental and/or cultural resources.
Χ	facilitates a desired kind of development.
	provides needed housing/commercial area.

Additional comments, if any (write-in):

and Sept, 2022

Date

Chair Signature

Paran D. Patel