Consistency and Reasonableness Statement

Map Amendments

Pursuant to N.C.G.S. Sections 160D-604 and -605, the Zoning Commission finds that the proposed zoning map amendment in case P25-21 is consistent with the City of Fayetteville's Future Land Use Map and Plan (Comprehensive Plan). The following analysis examines the proposed amendment relative to the goals and landuse policies and strategies of the Comprehensive Plan:

Consistency

1. GOALS

GOAL(S)	CONSISTENT	INCONSISTENT
GOAL #1: Focus value and investments around infrastructure and strategic nodes	X	ı
GOAL #2: Promote compatible economic and commercial development in key identified areas.	Х	

2. LAND USE POLICES AND STRATEGIES:

LAND USE POLICIES AND STRATEGIES	CONSISTENT	INCONSISTENT
LUP 1: Encourage growth in areas well-served by infrastructure and urban services, including roads, utilities, parks, schools, police, fire, and emergency services.	X	
1.1 & 1.6: The property is well-positioned within an established urban core served by public infrastructure, including roads, utilities, and emergency services.	X	-
1.7: This amendment supports infill development and redevelopment in a logical, contiguous pattern that leverages existing public investment and avoids leapfrog development.	X	
LUP 2: Encourage strategic economic development.	x	
2.1: The site's adaptive reuse potential and proximity to entertainment and dining establishments align with the Plan's objectives to foster walkable, mixed-use activity centers.	х	
LUP 4: Create well-designed and walkable commercial and mixed-use districts.	×	
4.2: The site's adaptive reuse potential and proximity to entertainment and dining establishments align with the Plan's objectives to foster walkable, mixed-use activity centers.	X	

3. The proposed amendment is consistent with the Future Land Use Map as follows:

х	The proposed land use is consistent and aligns with the area's designation on the FLU Map.	OR	The proposed land use is inconsistent and does not align with the area's designation on the FLU Map.
х	As requested, the proposed designation would permit complementary uses on adjacent tracts.	OR	As requested, the proposed designation would permit uses incongruous to those existing on adjacent tracts.

Reasonableness

The proposed zoning amendment is reasonable and in the public interest because it supports the polices of the Comprehensive Plan as stated above and the Strategic Plan as stated in the Staff Report, and because: [select all that apply]

X	The proposed use(s) will benefit the surrounding community through size, physical conditions, and other attributes.
•	The amendment includes conditions that limit potential negative impacts on neighboring uses.
X	The proposed uses address the needs of the area and/or the City.
X	The proposal adapts the zoning code to reflect modern land-use trends and patterns.
The ar	mendment is also in the public interest because it: [select all that apply]
X	_ Improves consistency with the long-range plan.
X	_ Improves the tax base.
Χ	Preserves environmental and/or cultural resources.
X	Facilitates a desired kind of development.
	Provides needed housing/commercial area.
Additi	onal comments, if any (write-in):
	May 8, 2025
Date	Chair Signature

Print