Consistency and Reasonableness Statement

Map Amendments

Pursuant to N.C.G.S. §§160D-604 and -605, the Zoning Commission finds that the proposed zoning map amendment in case **P25-44** is consistent with the City of Fayetteville's Future Land Use Map and Plan (Comprehensive Plan). The following analysis examines the proposed amendment relative to the goals and landuse policies and strategies of the Comprehensive Plan.

Consistency

1. GOALS

GOAL(S)	CONSISTENT	INCONSISTENT
 Goal 1: Focus value and investments around infrastructure and strategic nodes. The site is a publicly-served, 0.11-acre infill parcel; the change aligns zoning with existing utilities and an established corridor. 	X	
 Goal 2: Promote compatible economic and commercial development in key identified areas. Surroundings include LC and CC districts; LC on this tract matches the corridor's prevailing commercial pattern. 	X	
 Goal 3: Encourage redevelopment and infill development. The request corrects a long-standing nonconformity and facilitates reinvestment at an existing address. 	X	

2. LAND USE POLICES AND STRATEGIES:

LAND USE POLICIES AND STRATEGIES	CONSISTENT	INCONSISTENT
LU-1.1: Promote growth in areas with existing infrastructure. • Public roads/utilities already serve this infill site.	X	
LU-1.6: Require infrastructure prior to or in tandem with development. • No premature extensions are needed for the map correction.	X	
 LU-2.1: Encourage economic development in designated areas. The FLU Map places the site within Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU), anticipating commercial/mixed-use activity. 	X	
 LU-3: Support reinvestment and redevelopment of under-utilized commercial areas. Standardizing split MR-5/LC to all LC removes a barrier to small-site reinvestment. 	X	

3. The proposed amendment is consistent with the Future Land Use Map as follows:

X	The proposed land use is consistent and aligns with the area's NMU designation on the FLU Map.	OR	The proposed land use is inconsistent and does not align with the area's designation on the FLU Map.
X	The proposed designation, as requested, would permit uses that are complementary to those existing on adjacent tracts (LC/CC in the corridor).	OR	The proposed designation, as requested, would permit uses that are incongruous to those existing on adjacent tracts.

Reasonableness

The proposed zoning amendment is reasonable and in the public interest because it supports the policies of the Comprehensive Plan as stated above and the Strategic Plan (Goal II: diverse tax base; Goal III: growth management) as stated in the Staff Report, and because:

X	The size, physical conditions, and other attributes of the proposed use(s) will benefit the surrounding community by curing a split-zoned, nonconforming condition on a small infill lot.
	The amendment includes conditions that limit potential negative impacts on neighboring uses. (Not applicable – this is a straight rezoning to LC; mitigation occurs through the City's development review at the time of any future permits.)

- X The proposed uses address the needs of the area and/or the City by enabling compliance and modest reinvestment in an existing commercial corridor.
- X The proposal adapts the zoning map to reflect current land-use patterns by standardizing LC across the tract.

The amendment is also in the public interest because it: [select all that apply]

| X | Improves consistency with the long-range plan. | X | Improves the tax base (anticipated neutral-to-modestly positive near-term fiscal outlook) | Preserves environmental and/or cultural resources. | X | Facilitates a desired kind of development (commercial reinvestment) | X | Provides needed commercial area.

Additional comments, if any (write-in):

September 9, 2025
Date

Chair Signature

Print