Consistency and Reasonableness Statement

Map Amendments

Pursuant N.C.G.S. Sections 160D-604 and -605, the Zoning Commission finds that the proposed zoning map amendment in case P23-12 is consistent with the City of Fayetteville's Future Land Use Map and Plan (Comprehensive Plan). The following analysis examines the proposed amendment relative to the goals and landuse policies and strategies of the Comprehensive Plan:

Consistency

1. GOALS

GOAL(S)	CONSISTENT	INCONSISTENT
GOAL #2: Responsive City Government Supporting a Diverse and Viable Economy	X	
GOAL #3: City invested in Today and Tomorrow	X	
GOAL #4: Desirable Place to Live, Work, and Recreate	X	

2. LAND USE POLICES AND STRATEGIES:

LAND USE POLICIES AND STRATEGIES	CONSISTENT	INCONSISTENT
LUP 1: Encourage growth in areas well-served by infrastructure and urban services, including roads, utilities, parks, schools, police, fire, and emergency services.	X	
1.1: Work with the Public Works Commission (PWC) and other utility providers to ensure that public facilities and services are planned in a coordinated manner.	х	
1.6: Require adequate infrastructure to be in place prior to or in tandem with new development. This includes road infrastructure such as roads, turn lanes, and sidewalks as well as public services such as parks, schools, water/sewer, police, fire, and emergency services.	х	
1.7: Encourage a logical progression of housing development and discourage "leapfrog" development. Leapfrog development is development that occurs in areas away from existing development and in areas currently not served by infrastructure or adjacent to services, esp. water/sewer. This type of growth can lead to higher costs of providing urban services.	X	

LUP 4: Create well-designed and walkable commercial and mixed-use districts	X	
4.1: Ensure new development meets basic site design requirements.	X	
LUP 7: Encourage a mix of housing types for all ages and incomes	X	
7.2: Allow a mix of smaller scale detached and attached housing in Medium Density Residential and Neighborhood Improvement areas (as identified on the Future Land Use Map).	Х	

3. The proposed amendment is consistent with the Future Land Use Map as follows:

X	The proposed land use is consistent and aligns with the area's designation on the FLU Map.	OR	The proposed land use is inconsistent and does not align with the area's designation on the FLU Map.
x	The proposed designation, as requested, would permit uses that are complimentary to those existing on adjacent tracts.	OR	The proposed designation, as requested, would permit uses that are incongruous to those existing on adjacent tracts.

Reasonableness

The proposed zoning amendment is reasonable and in the public interest because it supports the polices of the Comprehensive Plan as stated above and the Strategic Plan as stated in the Staff Report, and because: [select all that apply]

The size, physical conditions, and other attributes of the proposed use(s) will benefit the surrounding community.

 $The \ amendment \ includes \ conditions \ that \ limit \ potential \ negative \ impacts \ on \ neighboring \ uses.$

X The proposed uses address the needs of the area and/or City.

X
The proposal adapts the zoning code to reflect modern land-use trends and patterns.

The amendment is also in the public interest because it: [select all that apply]

	improves consistency with the long-range plan.	
X	improves the tax base.	
	preserves environmental and/or cultural resources.	
	facilitates a desired kind of development.	
X	provides needed housing/commercial area.	
Additio	ional comments, if any (write-in):	
Apri Date	ril 11, 2023Cha	ir Signature
	 Prin	nt